Questionnaire for the evaluation of experiments and exercises					
_ehrveranstaltung: Dozent/in:	Kennung:				
	_ehrveranstaltung:	_ehrveranstaltung:			

Bitte so markieren:	🗌 🗙 🗋 📋 🔲 Mark like that. Please use a ballpoint pen or a thin felt-tip pen. This questionnaire will be analyzed electronically.
Korrektur:	🗌 🔳 🗋 🗙 🗋 Correct like that. Please note the hints given on the left side for proper data collection.

Dear students,

the University of Hohenheim would like to offer you a high teaching quality. Therefore, we need your assistance in the evaluation of your courses.

Thank you very much for your feedback! If you are evaluating excursions with this questionnaire please answer only questions that you think are appropriate or use the freetext fields.

1. Introduction to the course							
1.1 1.2	Theoretic basics were presented comprehensively. The explanations for performing the experiments / exercises were sufficient.	very mu very mu					☐ not at all ☐ not at all
1.3	References to additional literature complemented the	course. very mu	uch 🗌				🗌 not at all
2. In	plementation of the course						
2.1	The number of work stations resp. experimental up a was sufficient.	sets very mu	uch 🗌				☐ not at all
2.2	The scheduled period of time for performing the exe experiments was sufficient.	rcises/ very mu	uch 🗌				🗌 not at all
2.3 2.4	I was allowed to work very independently. The course mainly focuses on	very mu basic scientific knowledge		□ special s knowled		ic 🗆	not at all practice relevant knowledge
3. S	upervision						
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	The quality of the supervision was very high. The lecturer/s was/were prepared very well. The availability of the supervisor/s was adequate. Review and discussion in the course was sufficient.	very mu very mu very mu	uch 🗌				 ☐ not at all ☐ not at all ☐ not at all ☐
4. W	ork load and level of content						
4.1 4.2	Compared to other modules the work load for me was For me the level of content was	-	her □ igh □				☐ much lower ☐ too low
5. Overall assessment							
5.1 5.2	How interested are you in the topic of this course? Which aspects did you particularly like/ were particu	very mu larly helpful?	uch 🗌				🗌 not at all

Questionnaire for the evaluation of experiments and exercises

🐼 evasys

5. Overall assessment [Fortsetzung]

evasys

5.3 What suggestions for improvement do you have? (e.g. on content, workload, relation to research and practice)

5.4	My general impression of the course	was	very good 🗌				☐ very bad
6. S	urrounding conditions						
6.1 6.2	The technical equipment of the lecture roo Comments on the lecture room/ lab (pla		very much ber in the notes):				🔲 not at all
7 6	eneral information						
				_	_	_	
7.1 7.2	I attended the course I am enrolled in the study programme BSc Agrarbiologie MSc Advisory Services MSc Agrartechnik MSc AgriTropics MSc Bodenwissenschaften MSc EnviroFood MSc NawaRo/NaBiTec other programme of UHOH	 BSc Agrarwissens MSc AgEcon MSc Agrarwissens MSc Animal Scien MSc Crop Science MSc EUR- Organia MSc Pflanzenprod of another universite 	schaften (frei) ce/Tierwiss. e c uktionssysteme	 BSc NawaRo MSc Agrarbiologie MSc AgriBusiness MSc Bioeconomy MSc EnvEuro MSc Landscape Ecology 		jie ss y Ecology tion Management	
7.3 7.4	I am currently in my semester. 1st 4th 7th For me this course is part of a(n)	☐ 2nd ☐ 5th ☐ 8th		☐ 3rd ☐ 6tl ☐ >8	n		
1.7	 compulsory module additional module 	 elective module other than mentior 	ned	□ se	mi-elec	tive mo	odule